

Last class:

- A **simplex** is the convex hull of n affinely independent vectors

$$\text{i.e. } \sigma = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i; \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1, \forall i: \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

$v_1, \dots, v_n$ are affinely independent

Triangulation: A collection of simplices of dimension $(n-1)$, σ that:

(of σ): ① their union is σ ,

② any two simplices in the collection either have no intersection, or intersect in a common face of both.

These are called **elementary simplices**.

Consider the simplex Δ_{n-1} , triangulation T (with elementary simplices σ).

Let $V(T)$ be vertex of all the simplices.

The $l: V(T) \rightarrow [n]$ is a Sperner labelling if

$$l(x) \in \{i: x_i > 0\}$$

An elementary simplex is called a rainbow simplex if its vertices have all n colours.

Sperner's Lemma: There are an odd number of rainbow simplices

(thus, at least 1).

Given a cake $C = [0, 1]$, a cut-set $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$$\forall i, x_i \geq 0, \text{ and } \sum_i x_i = 1.$$

Clearly, pts. in Δ_{n-1} correspond exactly to cut-sets.

Each cut-set also gives a connected cake allocation, where

$$A_1 = [0, x_1], A_2 = [x_1, x_1 + x_2], A_3 = [x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2 + x_3], \dots$$

We refer to A_i as the i th piece for a cut-set x .

Given a cut-set x , agent i "prefers" piece k if $A_k \in \arg \max_{j \in [n]} v_i(A_j)$

(thus A_k has maximum value for agent i)

Lemma: Let f_i be the valuation density fn. for agent i , & $f_i(x) > 0$ $\forall i \in N$, $x \in C$. Then if (x^k) is a sequence of cutsets

converging to $\hat{x}$, & if agent i prefers piece j in each of $x^1, \dots, x^k$, then i prefers piece j in $\hat{x}$ as well

(prove yourself)

(we say the sequence $x^k = x^1, x^2, \dots$ converges to x^* if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\forall k \geq N, \|x^k - x^*\|_1 \leq \epsilon)$$

Theorem: $\exists$ a connected EF allocation.

Barycentric Subdivision: For the standard simplex Δ_{n-1} ,
For every $S \subseteq [n]$, consider the face σ_S . The **barycentre** of σ_S is the point:

$$b_{S,i} = \frac{1}{|S|} \text{ for } i \in S$$

$$= 0 \text{ o.w.}$$

Thus for every $S \subseteq [n]$, there is a barycentre b_S .

Now consider the lattice consisting of subsets of $[n]$

For every maximal chain in this lattice

$$\emptyset \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq S_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq S_n = [n]$$

we construct an elementary simplex w/ vertices $\{b_\emptyset, b_{S_1}, b_{S_2}, \dots, b_{S_n}\}$

(there are thus $n!$ elementary simplices)

This is called the barycentric subdivision of Δ_{n-1}

Claim: This is a triangulation of Δ_{n-1}

(check yourself)

For a simplex σ , define $\text{diameter}(\sigma) = \max_{x, y \in \sigma} \|x - y\|_2$

Claim: Let T be a barycentric subdivision of σ , & $\hat{\sigma}$ be an elementary simplex. Then $\text{diam}(\hat{\sigma}) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{n+1}) \text{diam}(\sigma)$

(prove yourself)

Barycentric labelling: In a barycentric subdivision, vertices are b_S , $S \subseteq [n]$.

$$p(b_S) = | \text{supp}(b_S) | + 1 \in [n]$$

Note that in a barycentric subdivision of Δ_{n-1} , every elementary simplex has a different p -label on each vertex.

We say agent i **owns** a vertex, if $p(v) = i$.

Now let $T^{(1)}$ be a barycentric triangulation

$T^{(2)}, T^{(3)}, \dots$ be a sequence of triangulations, where $T^{(k+1)}$ is obtained

by a barycentric triangulation of the elementary simplices in $T^{(k)}$.

& $p: V(T^{(1)}) \rightarrow [n]$ is a labelling of $V(T^{(1)})$ so that

for each elementary simplex in $T^{(1)}$, each vertex gets a different label

(can show by induction this exists)

Proof: Given Δ_{n-1} , let T be a barycentric subdivision. The result

that every elementary simplex has a different p -label on each vertex; $p(x) \in [n]$ (or equivalently, each agent i owns a distinct vertex v_i)

Now we construct a different labelling on the vertices $V(T)$.

For each vertex $x \in V(T)$, let $l(x) \in [n]$ be a piece of cake

preferred by agent $p(x)$, breaking ties arbitrarily.

Thus at a simplex, we ask a different agent which piece they prefer at each vertex.

Claim: $l: V(T) \rightarrow [n]$ is a Sperner labelling.

Proof: We need to show that for each $x \in V(T)$, $l(x) \in \{i: x_i > 0\}$.

But this is immediate, since agents are "hungry".

Thus, $\exists$ a rainbow simplex: an elementary simplex where each vertex

is a different colour.

(Equivalently, an elementary simplex where each agent prefers a different piece

of cake)

Now, let $\sigma^{(1)}, \sigma^{(2)}, \dots$ be a rainbow simplex in $T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, \dots$

(& note that $\sigma^{(k)}$'s are getting smaller & smaller, i.e., $\text{diameter } \sigma^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$)

Each $\sigma^{(k)}$ satisfies:

- each vertex has a different p -label (by Barycentric labelling)

(i.e., each agent owns a diff. vertex)

- each vertex has a different Sperner label

(i.e., each agent prefers a different piece of cake)

Now for each $\sigma^{(k)}$, let $v_i^{(k)}$ be the vertex of $\sigma^{(k)}$ w/ p -label i

(this is the vertex owned by agent i).

Further let $b^{(k)}$ be the barycenter of simplex $\sigma^{(k)}$.

Let us assume the following, which we will justify later.

① $\forall i \in N$, $\exists n_i \in [n]$ s.t. agent i prefers the n_i th piece at each

vertex $\{v_i^{(k)}\}$

Note that the labels n_i form a Sperner colouring for each simplex

$\{\sigma^{(k)}\}$, and hence $n_i \neq n_j$ for agents $i \neq j$

(thus for each simplex $\{\sigma^{(k)}\}$, each agent i prefers a diff. piece of cake.

② The sequence $b^{(1)}, \dots$ is convergent, & converges to x^* .

Proof of Theorem: Firstly note that $\forall i$, $\{v_i^{(k)}\} \rightarrow x^*$, since

diameter $(\sigma^{(k)})$ decreases geometrically & $b^{(k)} \rightarrow x^*$.

Also for each agent i , she prefers the n_i th piece at each vertex $v_i^{(k)}$, and

hence also at x^* . But for each simplex $\sigma^{(k)}$, the n_i 's form a

Sperner labelling, & each $\sigma^{(k)}$ is a rainbow simplex. Hence $n_i \neq n_j$ for agents

$i \neq j$, & x^* is an EF & connected partition of the cake. $\square$

Assumption 1:

Since $\{\sigma^{(k)}\}$ is an infinite sequence, & at each rainbow simplex there are $n!$

possible preferences for the agents, we simply pick an infinite subsequence where

$\forall i$, $\exists$ a piece n_i s.t. agent i prefers piece n_i at each simplex in the subsequence.

Assumption 2:

Now, let $\{\sigma^{(k)}\}$ be a sequence where $\forall i$, $\exists n_i \in [n]$ s.t. agent i prefers

piece n_i in $\{\sigma^{(k)}\}$.

Want to show $\exists x^* \in \Delta_{n-1}$ s.t. $b^{(k)} \rightarrow x^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

... but this is not strictly true.

Bolzano - Weierstrass Theorem: Every sequence in a closed and bounded

set has a convergent subsequence

(w/o proof)

Thus, the sequence $\{b^{(k)}\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

That is, $\exists$ a infinite subset $s' \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+$ s.t. the subsequence $(b^{(k)})_{k \in s'}$ converges.

Instead of the original sequence, we just consider this subsequence instead.